Appendix E

**Dock Vibration Study** 



WIELAND ACOUSTICS, INC. 2691 Richter Avenue, Suite 114 Irvine, CA 92606 Tel: 949.474.1222 Fax: 949.474.9122 www.wielandacoustics.com

Environmental Noise Study for the Construction of the Proposed Carnation Cove Dock Replacement Project in the City of Newport Beach

> Project File 08.032.00 March 12, 2009

Preliminary Report for Review Only

Prepared for:

Advanced Real Estate Services, Inc. 23792 Rockfield Boulevard, Suite 100 Lake Forest, CA 92630

Prepared by: David L. Wieland, Principal Consultant



# Table of Contents

1	EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY1			
2	INTRODUCTION / PROJECT DESCRIPTION1				
3	NC	NOISE AND GROUND VIBRATION DESCRIPTORS4			
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	DECIBELS			
4	NC	DISE AND VIBRATION CRITERIA7			
	4.1 4.2 4.3	City of Newport Beach Municipal Code			
5	TH	RESHOLDS OF SIGNIFICANCE			
6	EX	STING ENVIRONMENT			
	6.1 6.2	Noise			
7	FU	TURE ENVIRONMENT WITHIN THE STUDY AREA11			
	7.1 7.2	Noise         11           Vibration         13			
8	AS	SESSMENT OF IMPACT15			
9	MI	TIGATION MEASURES15			
1(	) AB	ATEMENT MEASURES15			
11	L UN	MITIGATED IMPACTS16			
12	2 REI	ERENCES			



### List of Tables

Table 4-1.	FTA Construction Vibration Damage Criteria	7
Table 4-2.	Caltrans Vibration Damage Criteria	7
Table 6-1.	Summary of Ambient Noise Measurements	9
Table 6-2.	Summary of Ambient Vibration Measurements1	1
Table 7-1.	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels1	2
Table 7-2.	Estimated Construction Noise Levels at Sensitive Receptors1	2
Table 7-3.	Estimated Increase in Average Noise Level During Drilling Phase1	3
Table 7-4.	Estimated Increase in Average Noise Level During Concrete Pile Phase1	3
Table 7-5.	Comparison of Estimated Construction PPVs to Ambient Levels1	4
Table 7-6.	Estimated Construction Vibration Levels1	4

#### List of Figures

Figure 2-1.	Location of the Study Area	2
Figure 2-2.	Proposed Dock Layout	3
Figure 3-1.	Common Noise Sources and A-Weighted Noise Levels	5
Figure 6-1.	Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations10	С

#### List of Appendices

Appendix I. Ambient Noise and Vibration Measurements



## 1 Executive Summary

This report identifies and assesses the potential noise and vibration impacts associated with the reconstruction of the Carnation Cove dock in Newport Beach.

In order to identify the existing environment, noise and vibration measurements were taken at four locations throughout the study area. The construction activities associated with the project will result in a change to the acoustical environment at properties in the vicinity of the project.

Using the criteria established in this study, it is concluded that the project will not generate a significant noise or vibration impact at the nearby sensitive receptors. Therefore, mitigation measures are not required.

Although not required as mitigation measures, the following abatement measures have been recommended as conditions of approval to minimize noise levels associated with the construction activity:

- 1. Equip all construction equipment with properly operating and maintained muffling devices.
- 2. Develop a construction schedule that minimizes potential cumulative construction noise impacts.
- 3. Notify the residents of the construction schedule for the marina, and keep them informed of any changes to the schedule. Identify the name and phone number of a contact person in case of complaints. The contact person shall take whatever reasonable steps are necessary to resolve the complaint.

## 2 Introduction / Project Description

The purpose of this study is to identify and assess the potential noise impacts associated with the construction of the proposed Carnation Cove dock replacement project in Newport Beach. Refer to Figure 2-1 for the location of the study area. Currently, the dock has four slips; this will be increased to eight slips plus a side-tie dock. The new dock layout is shown in Figure 2-2.

The timber docks supported by rotationally molded plastic pontoons allow the dock system to be located as close to the rock outcropping as possible. Six steel dock guide piles support the existing docks and will be replaced with 19 new guide piles supporting the new dock system. Of these 19 piles, nine will be large diameter piles (approximately 2-foot diameter). The guide piles will be constructed of pre-stressed concrete set in pre-drilled augured holes. The existing 20-foot long gangway will be replaced by a safer 60-foot gangway.

The pile-supported pier walkway between the existing gangway platform and the existing terrace will be repaired or replaced with a structure in-like-kind (i.e., timber framing system, a 2x timber deck, and timber railings all around). The existing piers supporting the walkway will require concrete repairs. The gangway platform construction will include the four steel piles, timber framing with



metal connectors, and a 2x timber deck with railings all around. The existing concrete pad, concrete steps, and safety railings will be repaired and patched as necessary.



Figure 2-1. Location of the Study Area

ADVANCED REAL ESTATE SERVICES, INC. Carnation Cove Dock Replacement Project Project File 08.032.00 - DRAFT	KEVNOTES: A PROFOSED CITY STANDARD TERMINATION PLATFORM PROFOSED CITY STANDARD TERMINATION PLATFORM A REPLACE STEPS AS SHOPIN A REPLACE DETING RALINGES B PROFOSED DECK FINSH OVER EMSTING B PROFOSED DECK FINSH OVER EMSTING D DISTING DOCK AND GWGWAY TO BE REMOVED B PROFOSED REPLACEMENT DOCK D REPLACE DECK TO DOCK AND GWGWAY TO BE REMOVED B PROFOSED REPLACEMENT DOCK D REPLACE RESTING STEPS C EEL GRASS PROFOSED PROFO DOCK/DECK FINISH PROFOSED PROFO DOCK/DECK FINISH PROFOSED PROFO DOCK/DECK FINISH	En la construction de la constru
ADVAN Carnatio		
WIELAND ACOUSTICS noise & vibration consultants		
$(\mathbf{s})$		

Figure 2-2. Proposed Dock Layout



## 3 Noise and Ground Vibration Descriptors

The following sections briefly describe the noise and ground vibration descriptors that will be used throughout this study:

#### 3.1 Decibels

Sound pressures can be measured in units called microPascals ( $\mu$ Pa). However, expressing sound levels in terms of  $\mu$ Pa would be very cumbersome since it would require a wide range of very large numbers. For this reason, sound pressure levels are described in logarithmic units of ratios of actual sound pressures to a reference pressure squared. These units are called bels. In order to provide a finer resolution, a bel is subdivided into 10 decibels, abbreviated dB.

Since decibels are logarithmic units, sound pressure levels cannot be added or subtracted by ordinary arithmetic means. For example, if one automobile produces a sound pressure level of 70 dB when it passes an observer, two cars passing simultaneously would not produce 140 dB. In fact, they would combine to produce 73 dB. This same principle can be applied to other traffic quantities as well. In other words, doubling the traffic volume on a street or the speed of the traffic will increase the traffic noise level by 3 dB. Conversely, halving the traffic volume or speed will reduce the traffic noise level by 3 dB.

#### 3.2 A-Weighting

Sound pressure level alone is not a reliable indicator of loudness. The frequency or pitch of a sound also has a substantial effect on how humans will respond. While the intensity of the sound is a purely physical quantity, the loudness or human response depends on the characteristics of the human ear.

Human hearing is limited not only to the range of audible frequencies, but also in the way it perceives the sound pressure level in that range. In general, the healthy human ear is most sensitive to sounds between 1,000 Hz and 5,000 Hz, and perceives both higher and lower frequency sounds of the same magnitude with less intensity. In order to approximate the frequency response of the human ear, a series of sound pressure level adjustments is usually applied to the sound measured by a sound level meter. The adjustments, or weighting network, are frequency dependent.

The A-scale approximates the frequency response of the average young ear when listening to most ordinary everyday sounds. When people make relative judgments of the loudness or annoyance of a sound, their judgments correlate well with the A-scale sound levels of those sounds. A range of noise levels associated with common in- and outdoor activities is shown in Figure 3-1.

The A-weighted sound level of traffic and other long-term noise-producing activities within and around a community varies considerably with time. Measurements of this varying noise level are accomplished by recording values of the A-weighted level during representative periods within a specified portion of the day.





#### Figure 3-1. Common Noise Sources and A-Weighted Noise Levels



### 3.3 Peak Particle Velocity

Construction activities such as blasting, pile driving, and operation of heavy construction equipment induce ground and structure vibrations. Their effects can range from annoyance for the local residents to structural damage. The level of ground vibration experienced at any location depends mainly on the construction method, soil medium, distance from the vibratory source, and the structural dynamics of the building. There are several different methods that are used to quantify vibration amplitude. Of these, peak particle velocity (PPV) is most appropriate for evaluating potential building damage since it is related to the stresses that are exerted upon the buildings. PPV is most commonly assessed in the vertical direction because the floors of buildings vibrate mostly in the vertical direction. Near the source of vibration, the horizontal ground particle velocity is commonly lower than the vertical component. Far from the source of vibration, the ground horizontal and vertical velocities are about the same order of magnitude.

#### 3.4 Vibration Velocity Level

Although PPV is appropriate for evaluating the potential for building damage, it is not suitable for evaluating human response to ground-borne vibration. It takes some time for the human body to respond to vibration signals. In a sense, the human body responds to an "average" vibration amplitude. However, the actual average level is not a useful measure of vibration because the net average of a vibration signal is zero. Instead, vibration velocity level ( $L_v$ ) is used for evaluating human response.  $L_v$  describes the root-mean-square (rms) velocity amplitude of the vibration. This rms value may be thought of as a "smoothed" or "magnitude-averaged" amplitude. The rms of a signal is typically calculated over a 1 second period. The maximum  $L_v$  describes the maximum rms velocity amplitude that occurs during a vibration measurement.

 $L_v$  can be measured in inches per second (in/s). However, expressing these levels in terms of in/s would be very cumbersome since it would require a very wide range of numbers. For this reason,  $L_v$  is often stated in terms of decibels. Although it is not a universally accepted notation, the abbreviation "VdB" is used throughout this report to denote vibration velocity level decibels in order to reduce the potential for confusion with sound level decibels. The VdB is a logarithmic unit that describes the ratio of the actual rms velocity amplitude to a reference velocity amplitude. The accepted reference velocity amplitude is  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  in/s in the USA; therefore, this is the reference amplitude that is used throughout this report (it is noted that the accepted reference level varies globally and much confusion can arise if the reference is not clearly stated). Specifically, a vibration velocity level ( $L_v$ ), in decibels (VdB), is calculated as follows:

$$L_V = 20\log_{10}\left(\frac{V}{1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in./s}}\right)$$

where V is the actual rms velocity amplitude and  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  in/s is the reference velocity amplitude.

Since decibels are logarithmic units, vibration velocity levels cannot be added or subtracted by ordinary arithmetic means.



## 4 Noise and Vibration Criteria

The following sections discuss the various criteria that have been considered in this study.

#### 4.1 City of Newport Beach Municipal Code

Section 10.28.040 of the City's Municipal Code prohibits construction work which produces loud noise that disturbs, or could disturb, a person of normal sensitivity who works or resides in the vicinity, on any weekday except between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m., or on any Saturday except between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Construction work is prohibited on Sundays and federal holidays. The City's Municipal Code does not identify any quantitative noise level standards for construction activities, nor does it provide any standards or guidelines with respect to ground vibration.

#### 4.2 Vibration Safety Limits for Buildings

General vibration damage criteria developed by the Federal Transit Administration [2] are summarized as follows:

Building Category	PPV (in/sec)
Reinforced concrete, steel or timber (no plaster)	0.5
Engineered concrete and masonry (no plaster)	0.3
Non-engineered timber and masonry buildings	0.2
Buildings extremely susceptible to vibration damage	0.12

Table 4-1. FTA Construction Vibration Damage Criteria

Caltrans [3] uses the following criteria to evaluate the severity of problems associated with continuous<sup>1</sup> vibrations:

Building Category	PPV (in/sec)
Extremely fragile historic buildings, ruins, ancient	
monuments	0.08
Fragile buildings	0.1
Historic and some old buildings	0.25
Older residential structures	0.3
New residential structures	0.5
Modern industrial/commercial buildings	0.5

Table 4-2. Caltrans Vibration Damage Criteria

It is noteworthy that the risk of structural damage still exists even at relatively low vibration velocities (in particular due to dynamic settlements caused in loose soils).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The drilling that will be used to set the piles is considered to be a continuous vibration source.



### 4.3 Vibration Perceptibility

Criteria developed by the Federal Transit Administration [2] indicate that when groundborne vibration exceeds 72 to 80 VdB, it is usually perceived as annoying to occupants of residential buildings.

## 5 Thresholds of Significance

Based on the noise and vibration criteria discussed above, and the CEQA guidelines, a significant impact will be assessed if the project will result in:

- Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. A noise impact will occur if construction activities occur outside of the time periods permitted in the City's noise ordinance.
- Exposure of persons to, or generation of, excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. This impact will occur if any construction activity causes the vibration velocity level (L<sub>v</sub>) to exceed 72 to 80 VdB at an adjacent residential building. Because of the potential for damage, a significant impact will be assessed if the PPV exceeds 0.20 in/sec at any existing residential building.
- A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. As there are no permanent noise sources associated with the construction project, this aspect of the CEQA guidelines has not been considered in this study.
- A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. As the City has no noise standards for construction activity, and the construction activity will only occur within the hours permitted by the Municipal Code, no significant impact will be assessed relative to this CEQA guideline.
- The project would expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels as a result of activities at an airport. As the project is located well outside the noise contours for John Wayne Airport, this aspect of the CEQA guidelines has not been considered in the study.

## 6 Existing Environment

The sensitive land uses of concern within the study area consist of the residences north and northeast of the project site on Bayside Place, the residences generally northeast of the project site on Carnation Avenue, the residences generally east and southeast of the project site on Ocean Boulevard, and the residences to the west of the project site on Channel Road.



#### 6.1 Noise

The noise sources in the study area include traffic on the local streets, takeoffs from John Wayne Airport, activities on boats in the channel, and general residential activities in the area. In order to document the existing noise environment in the study area, continuous 24-hour measurements were obtained at four locations between April 23 and 30, 2008. (Refer to Figure 6-1 for the measurement locations.)

To obtain the measurements, the microphone was positioned at a height of 5 feet above the ground. The results of the noise measurements are provided in Appendix I, and are summarized in Table 6-1.

Location #	Location Description	Range of Average Daytime (7 AM - 7 PM) Noise Levels, L <sub>eq</sub>	Range of Maximum Daytime (7 AM - 7 PM) Noise Levels, L <sub>max</sub>
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	50.5 - 57.4 dB(A)	63.1 - 80.9 dB(A)
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	52.9 - 59.9 dB(A)	68.3 - 79.0 dB(A)
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	48.5 - 55.0 dB(A)	63.6 - 77.0 dB(A)
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	50.7 - 59.3 dB(A)	63.4 - 85.9 dB(A)

#### Table 6-1. Summary of Ambient Noise Measurements

The instrumentation used to obtain the noise measurements consisted of integrating sound level meters (Model 712) and an acoustical calibrator (Model CAL150) manufactured by Larson Davis Laboratories. The accuracy of the calibrators is maintained through a program established by the manufacturer, and is traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. All instrumentation meets the requirements of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) S1.4-1971.

MELAND ACOUSTICS noise & vibration consultants

ADVANCED REAL ESTATE SERVICES, INC. Carnation Cove Dock Replacement Project Project File 08.032.00 - DRAFT



Figure 6-1. Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations



#### 6.2 Vibration

Ambient ground vibration velocity levels were measured at all four locations (as shown in Figure 6-1) in the vertical direction using a PCB seismic accelerometer (Model 393C). The results of the measurements are provided in Appendix I, and are summarized in Table 6-2.

Location #	Location Description	Average Vibration Level	Maximum Vibration Level
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	0.00009 in/sec	0.00128 in/sec
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	0.00007 in/sec	0.00086 in/sec
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	0.00008 in/sec	0.00298 in/sec
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	0.00011 in/sec	0.00121 in/sec

Table 6-2.	Summary o	f Ambient	Vibration	Measurements
1 4010 0 2.	Summary O	Ambrene	, ibi acion	measurements

## 7 Future Environment within the Study Area

#### 7.1 Noise

In compliance with the City's Code requirements, construction of the project will occur only between 7:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. on Monday through Friday, and between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Saturday. There will be no construction activities on Sundays or legal holidays.

Construction noise levels in the vicinity of the project will fluctuate depending on the particular type, number and duration of use of various pieces of construction equipment. The exposure of persons to the periodic increase in noise levels will be short-term (on the order of several months).

To estimate the construction noise levels that will be experienced at the nearest sensitive receptors, the following assumptions have been made:

- A barge containing all the equipment necessary to drill and drop in the pre-stressed concrete pile will be located in the channel in the near vicinity of each pile that is being constructed.
- The noisiest pieces of equipment on the barge will be the drill and the crane. The drill and crane will operate simultaneously during the drilling phase of construction, and the crane will operate when the piles are being dropped into place.

Based on published data [4], the equipment to be used in the construction of the proposed dock will produce the following noise levels:



Equipment	Typical Noise Level @ 50 Ft.	Combined Noise Level @ 50 Ft.
Drilling Phase		88 dB(A)
Crane	85 dB(A)	
Auger drill rig	85 dB(A)	
Concrete Pile Phase		85 dB(A)
Crane	85 dB(A)	

Table 7-1.	Construction	Equipment	Noise	<b>Emission Levels</b>
1 4010 / 1.	comber action	Equipment	110150	Ennosion Ecit

Using the noise levels cited in Table 7-1, above, the maximum noise levels at the nearest sensitive receptors can be estimated using the inverse square law, where noise decays at the rate of 6 dB for every doubling of distance. The average noise level at the nearest sensitive receptors can be estimated using the following standard prediction algorithm [2]:

$$L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10 * \log(U.F.) - 20 * \log\left(\frac{D}{50}\right) - 10 * G * \log\left(\frac{D}{50}\right)$$

where,

 $L_{eq}$ (equip) is the average noise level at a receiver resulting from the operation of the equipment over a specified time period,

E.L. is the noise emission level of the equipment at a distance of 50 feet (from Table 7-1),

U.F. is a usage factor that accounts for the fraction of time that the equipment is in use over the specified time period (U.F. = 0.16 for the crane and 0.20 for the auger drill rig [4]),

D is the distance from the receiver to the piece of equipment, and

G is a constant that accounts for ground effects (assume G = 0 for propagation over water or hard surfaces).

Table 7-2 provides the results of the analysis.

		Drilling	g Phase	Concrete	Pile Phase
Location #	Location Description	Average Noise Level	Maximum Noise Level	Average Noise Level	Maximum Noise Level
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	71 dB(A) @ 155'	83 dB(A) @ 90'	67 dB(A) @ 155'	80 dB(A) @ 90'
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	68 dB(A) @ 230'	77 dB(A) @ 175'	64 dB(A) @ 230'	74 dB(A) @ 175'
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	56 dB(A) @ 880'	64 dB(A) @ 785'	52 dB(A) @ 880'	61 dB(A) @ 785'
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	56 dB(A) @ 920'	65 dB(A) @675'	52 dB(A) @ 920'	62 dB(A) @675'
	ise level is based on loise level is based o				



The estimated increase in average noise level due to construction may be calculated by adding, on an energy basis, the construction noise levels identified in Table 7-2 to the measured ambient noise levels identified in Table 6-1. This analysis is provided in Table 7-3 for the drilling phase, and in Table 7-4 for the concrete pile phase.

Location #	Location Description	Range of Measured Ambient Noise Levels, L <sub>eq</sub>	Estimated Average Construction Noise Level	Estimated Average Ambient + Construction Noise Level	Estimated Increase in Noise Level Due to Construction
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	50.5 - 57.4 dB(A)	71 dB(A)	71 dB(A)	13.6 - 20.5 dB(A)
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	52.9 - 59.9 dB(A)	68 dB(A)	68 - 69 dB(A)	9.1 - 15.1 dB(A)
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	48.5 - 55.0 dB(A)	56 dB(A)	57 - 59 dB(A)	4.0 - 8.5 dB(A)
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	50.7 - 59.3 dB(A)	56 dB(A)	57 - 61.5 dB(A)	2.2 - 6.3 dB(A)

Table 7-3. Estimated Increase in Average Noise Level During Drilling Phase

Table 7-4. Estimated Increase in Average Noise Level During Concrete Pile Phase

Location #	Location Description	Range of Measured Ambient Noise Levels, L <sub>eq</sub>	Estimated Average Construction Noise Level	Estimated Average Ambient + Construction Noise Level	Estimated Increase in Noise Level Due to Construction
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	50.5 - 57.4 dB(A)	67 dB(A)	67 - 67.5 dB(A)	10.1- 16.5 dB(A)
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	52.9 - 59.9 dB(A)	64 dB(A)	64.5 - 65.5 dB(A)	5.6 - 11.6 dB(A)
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	48.5 - 55.0 dB(A)	52 dB(A)	53.5 - 57 dB(A)	2.0 - 5.0 dB(A)
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	50.7 - 59.3 dB(A)	52 dB(A)	54.5 - 60.0 dB(A)	0.7 - 3.8 dB(A)

### 7.2 Vibration

The only vibratory activities during the construction of the project will be the extraction of the existing piles, and drilling into the channel bed to provide a socket for the concrete piles, which will then be grouted into place. For the most part, the new guide piles will be circular pre-stressed concrete piles 16 to 18 inches in diameter.

Based on published information, typical drilling produces a PPV of 0.089 in/sec at a distance of 25 feet. The PPV that will be experienced at the nearby sensitive properties can be estimated using the following formula [3]:

$$PPV_{Equipment} = PPV_{\text{Re ference}} \times \left(\frac{25}{D}\right)^{1.1}$$



where,

PPV<sub>Equipment</sub> is the peak particle velocity in in/sec of the equipment adjusted for distance,

- PPV<sub>Reference</sub> is the reference PPV in in/sec at 25 feet, or 0.089 in/sec, and
- D is the distance from the equipment to the receiver

Table 7-5 compares the estimated construction PPVs to the measured ambient vibration level at each of the nearest sensitive receptors.

Location #	Location Description	Maximum Ambient Vibration Level	Estimated Construction PPV
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	0.00128 in/sec	0.02 in/sec
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	0.00086 in/sec	0.01 in/sec
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	0.00298 in/sec	0.002 in/sec
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	0.00121 in/sec	0.002 in/sec

Table 7-5. Comparison of Estimated Construction PPVs to Ambient Levels

Based on published information, typical drilling produces a vibration level ( $L_v$ ) of 87 VdB at a distance of 25 feet. The  $L_v$  that will be experienced at the nearby sensitive properties can be estimated using the following formula [2]:

$$L_{v}(D) = L_{v}(25ft) - 30 \times \log\left(\frac{D}{25}\right)$$

where,

 $L_v(D)$  is the vibration level in VdB of the equipment adjusted for distance,

 $L_v(25 \text{ ft})$  is the reference vibration level in VdB at 25 feet, or 87 VdB, and

D is the distance from the equipment to the receiver

Table 7-6 provides the estimated construction vibration level at each of the nearest sensitive receptors.

Table 7-6. Estimated Construction Vibration Levels

Location #	Location Description	Estimated Construction Vibration Level
1	Rear patio, 101 Bayside Pl.	70 VdB @ 90'
2	Pool area, 2495 Ocean Blvd.	62 VdB @ 175'
3	Rear patio, 2282 Channel Rd.	42 VdB @ 785'
4	Rear patio, 2222 Channel Rd.	44 VdB @ 675'



It should be noted that the most reliable way to evaluate vibration is in situ. The theoretical analysis in this study provides approximate results and may not be accurate.

### 8 Assessment of Impact

Using the criteria established in this study, the following may be concluded regarding the impact of the proposed project:

- The project will not result in the exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. Therefore, the impact is not significant.
- The project will not generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. Therefore, the impact is not significant.

Because it is outside our area of expertise, the risk (if any) of structural damage due to transmitted vibrations or dynamic settlements has not been evaluated in this study. This risk should be analyzed and assessed by qualified structural and geotechnical engineers.

### 9 Mitigation Measures

As indicated in Section 8, there are no significant impacts associated with the construction of the dock replacement project. Therefore, mitigation measures are not required.

### 10 Abatement Measures

Although not required in order to mitigate a significant impact, the following measures are recommended as conditions of approval to minimize the construction noise levels caused by the project:

- 1. All construction equipment, stationary and mobile, shall be equipped with properly operating and maintained muffling devices.
- 2. A construction schedule shall be developed that minimizes potential cumulative construction noise levels.
- 3. The construction contractor shall notify the residents of the construction schedule for the dock, and shall keep them informed on any changes to the schedule. The notification shall also identify the name and phone number of a contact person in case of complaints. The contact person shall take whatever reasonable steps are necessary to resolve the complaint.



## 11 Unmitigated Impacts

There will be no unmitigated impacts associated with the project.

### 12 References

- 1. 201-207 Carnation, "Aerie Docks". Site plan provided by Keeton Kreitzer Consulting.
- 2. Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Federal Transit Administration. April 1995.
- Transportation- and Construction-Induced Vibration Guidance Manual. Jones & Stokes. Contract No. 43A0049 for California Department of Transportation; Environmental Program; Environmental Engineering; Noise, Vibration, and Hazardous Waste Management Office. June 2004.
- 4. *Roadway Construction Noise Model Version 1*.00. U.S. Department of Transportation Research and Innovative Technology Administration, John A. Volpe Nation Transportation Systems Center, Environmental Measurement and Modeling Division. February 2, 2006.

## APPENDIX I

Ambient Noise and Vibration Measurements

### Table I-1. Summary of Measured Ambient Noise Levels

		Avg. Noise	Max. Noise	Min. Noise	Noise	Level Exceed	led for More T	'han
Date	Time	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	1 Min/Hr	5 Min/Hr	15 Min/Hr	30 Min/Hr
4/29/08	12:00 PM	52.1	63.7	43.9	60.9	57.1	50.7	48.1
4/29/08	1:00 PM	53.1	65.1	43.6	61.7	58.5	52.3	47.7
4/29/08	2:00 PM	52.0	64.4	43.3	61.6	56.1	50.3	47.8
4/29/08	3:00 PM	52.0	66.4	41.9	62.3	55.5	49.8	46.9
4/29/08	4:00 PM	52.9	69.0	41.0	62.8	57.6	48.9	46.0
4/29/08	5:00 PM	50.5	64.1	41.7	60.3	54.4	48.9	45.8
4/29/08	6:00 PM	56.9	80.9	40.5	62.3	57.6	51.0	47.5
4/29/08	7:00 PM	54.0	73.0	40.0	64.2	58.3	49.4	45.2
4/29/08	8:00 PM	51.3	64.5	42.4	59.9	55.8	49.9	47.2
4/29/08	9:00 PM	51.4	65.8	42.9	60.8	54.6	49.9	48.0
4/29/08	10:00 PM	49.4	56.5	43.6	53.2	51.8	50.4	49.0
4/29/08	11:00 PM	50.1	56.1	44.6	53.7	52.4	51.0	49.7
4/30/08	12:00 AM	49.0	58.3	43.3	53.0	51.4	49.8	48.5
4/30/08	1:00 AM	48.2	57.2	42.7	52.6	50.9	49.0	47.5
4/30/08	2:00 AM	45.5	55.6	39.5	49.9	48.2	46.4	44.8
4/30/08	3:00 AM	52.0	61.4	43.8	57.0	54.8	52.7	51.2
4/30/08	4:00 AM	52.4	61.5	45.9	57.0	55.1	53.1	51.6
. 4/30/08	5:00 AM	52.2	62.9	45.4	57.0	55.0	53.0	51.4
4/30/08	6:00 AM	53.2	61.3	45.9	57.5	56.0	54.1	52.6
4/30/08	7:00 AM	57.4	71.5	49.0	64.7	61.6	57.0	54.5
4/30/08	8:00 AM	57.3	76.0	46.8	65.6	61.5	55.8	53.3
4/30/08	9:00 AM	55.1	67.5	47.6	62.3	58.5	54.9	53.1
4/30/08	10:00 AM	56.3	75.4	47.1	63.8	59.8	54.8	52.5
4/30/08	11:00 AM	54.0	67.7	47.0	62.2	58.0	53.4	50.7

#### Location: #1, Rear patio at 101 Bayside Place

#### Table I-2. Summary of Measured Ambient Noise Levels

		Avg. Noise	Max. Noise	Min. Noise	Noise	Level Exceed	led for More T	han
Date	Time	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	1 Min/Hr	5 Min/Hr	15 Min/Hr	30 Min/Hr
4/23/08	2:00 PM	56.4	72.7	41.6	66.6	61.1	53.1	48.6
4/23/08	3:00 PM	54.9	70.6	42.8	65.8	58.8	51.6	48.1
4/23/08	4:00 PM	59.9	79.0	44.8	69.6	63.1	53.7	49.9
4/23/08	5:00 PM	53.9	70.1	42.9	64.4	57.2	50.7	47.3
4/23/08	6:00 PM	52.9	72.2	40.5	63.0	55.9	48.6	45.1
4/23/08	7:00 PM	55.5	73.0	39.6	65.8	60.2	50.6	45.6
4/23/08	8:00 PM	53.0	67.3	39.4	63.7	58.0	49.4	44.8
4/23/08	9:00 PM	51.1	69.9	39.6	61.3	55.2	44.2	41.9
4/23/08	10:00 PM	42.6	57.8	37.3	49.5	45.5	41.9	40.6
4/23/08	11:00 PM	45.0	69.8	35.7	48.9	42.7	39.5	38.3
4/24/08	12:00 AM	40.1	52.2	35.6	42.7	41.6	40.6	39.8
4/24/08	1:00 AM	39.9	56.7	35.2	45.9	41.0	39.1	38.0
4/24/08	2:00 AM	37.8	56.6	34.9	41.5	39.2	37.8	36.9
4/24/08	3:00 AM	38.2	47.9	35.3	41.0	39.8	38.8	37.9
4/24/08	4:00 AM	38.8	53.6	34.9	43.9	40.9	38.9	38.0
4/24/08	5:00 AM	40.9	60.2	36.6	46.4	43.0	40.7	39.6
4/24/08	6:00 AM	45.5	62.8	38.6	54.1	48.7	44.5	41.7
4/24/08	7:00 AM	59.5	73.8	39.9	69.8	65.3	56.6	47.5
4/24/08	8:00 AM	54.4	70.6	39.9	65.4	59.1	48.6	44.4
4/24/08	9:00 AM	55.4	69.4	40.5	65.9	59.9	52.9	48.7
4/24/08	10:00 AM	57.6	78.7	40.3	66.7	60.3	52.9	48.1
4/24/08	11:00 AM	56.8	71.4	41.9	65.9	61.4	56.1	51.2
4/24/08	12:00 PM	54.0	68.3	40.2	64.6	58.6	51.8	46.6
4/24/08	1:00 PM	55.8	74.4	40.7	64.9	60.7	54.5	49.6

#### Location: #2, pool patio at 2495 Ocean Boulevard

### Table I-3. Summary of Measured Ambient Noise Levels

		Avg. Noise	Max. Noise	Min. Noise	Noise	Level Exceed	ded for More T	'han
Date	Time	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	1 Min/Hr	5 Min/Hr	15 Min/Hr	30 Min/Hr
4/23/08	4:00 PM	54.6	73.0	42.3	63.9	57.3	51.7	49.4
4/23/08	5:00 PM	50.5	65.4	40.8	59.4	54.1	49.6	47.0
4/23/08	6:00 PM	48.5	65.8	38.6	55.5	52.1	48.0	45.7
4/23/08	7:00 PM	51.7	67.3	38.7	60.3	56.0	50.0	46.7
4/23/08	8:00 PM	51.3	69.9	38.8	59.6	55.1	49.4	46.3
4/23/08	9:00 PM	48.8	66.1	38.5	55.7	52.0	48.2	45.6
4/23/08	10:00 PM	48.7	62.4	38.0	55.6	52.3	49.0	46.4
4/23/08	11:00 PM	51.5	72.9	38.0	55.8	50.6	47.4	45.3
4/24/08	12:00 AM	46.3	55.2	40.2	51.1	49.0	47.1	45.3
4/24/08	1:00 AM	46.9	57.9	40.3	52.2	49.2	47.5	46.0
4/24/08	2:00 AM	45.5	52.9	40.2	48.8	47.7	46.4	45.1
4/24/08	3:00 AM	44.5	55.5	39.3	48.7	47.3	45.5	43.8
4/24/08	4:00 AM	45.1	56.9	39.9	50.0	47.8	45.6	43.9
4/24/08	5:00 AM	45.2	59.1	39.8	49.7	47.8	46.0	44.3
4/24/08	6:00 AM	50.0	69.1	42.0	57.1	51.8	49.0	47.3
4/24/08	7:00 AM	54.5	71.2	40.6	62.7	59.5	54.0	48.9
4/24/08	8:00 AM	49.4	66.0	40.8	57.1	54.0	49.2	46.3
4/24/08	9:00 AM	51.7	65.3	41.0	59.4	56.5	51.4	48.1
4/24/08	10:00 AM	53.8	70.3	41.1	62.8	56.2	51.7	48.8
4/24/08	11:00 AM	52.9	66.8	42.3	60.1	56.9	53.0	50.2
4/24/08	12:00 PM	51.7	63.6	42.7	60.0	56.5	50.8	48.2
4/24/08	1:00 PM	52.2	64.5	43.1	60.4	56.3	51.8	48.9
4/24/08	2:00 PM	55.0	77.0	42.4	62.7	58.2	53.4	49.5
4/24/08	3:00 PM	52.9	75.3	42.6	59.2	55.1	50.8	48.3

Location: #3, rear patio of 2282 Channel Road

#### Table I-4. Summary of Measured Ambient Noise Levels

		Avg. Noise	Max. Noise	Min. Noise	Noise	Level Exceed	ded for More T	han
Date	Time	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	Level, dBA	1 Min/Hr	5 Min/Hr	15 Min/Hr	30 Min/Hr
4/29/08	11:00 AM	51.1	63.4	43.0	58.5	54.4	51.1	48.8
4/29/08	12:00 PM	52.3	64.9	45.0	59.4	56.4	52.3	50.0
4/29/08	1:00 PM	54.5	69.8	43.8	63.6	57.1	53.3	50.4
4/29/08	2:00 PM	51.1	66.3	41.8	59.6	54.7	50.6	47.9
4/29/08	3:00 PM	51.4	69.7	41.2	59.2	55.6	50.3	47.8
4/29/08	4:00 PM	50.7	66.7	41.3	59.5	54.5	50.0	47.2
4/29/08	5:00 PM	51.0	65.6	41.9	59.1	54.4	50.5	48.1
4/29/08	6:00 PM	55.2	76.0	42.5	63.1	57.6	52.5	49.3
4/29/08	7:00 PM	53.8	72.8	42.0	63.0	56.7	50.7	48.3
4/29/08	8:00 PM	51.2	63.0	44.1	58.2	54.1	51.1	49.4
4/29/08	9:00 PM	51.1	65.3	45.7	56.5	53.1	51.3	50.0
4/29/08	10:00 PM	50.3	61.8	44.6	55.2	52.5	51.0	49.4
4/29/08	11:00 PM	49.3	55.1	44.6	51.9	51.0	50.1	49.2
4/30/08	12:00 AM	51.4	59.7	43.9	54.6	53.6	52.5	51.2
4/30/08	1:00 AM	51.0	58.6	46.4	53.8	52.7	51.7	50.8
4/30/08	2:00 AM	48.7	56.1	43.2	52.3	51.0	49.7	48.2
4/30/08	3:00 AM	51.2	61.4	45.8	53.9	53.0	52.0	51.0
4/30/08	4:00 AM	50.5	57.7	46.3	53.1	52.2	51.2	50.2
4/30/08	5:00 AM	52.1	69.3	45.3	55.9	53.9	52.6	51.2
4/30/08	6:00 AM	53.3	66.2	48.3	58.5	54.9	53.5	52.5
4/30/08	7:00 AM	55.8	69.1	49.7	62.3	58.4	55.7	54.3
4/30/08	8:00 AM	59.3	85.9	49.4	62.5	57.8	54.6	53.1
4/30/08	9:00 AM	55.4	68.0	48.5	61.7	58.1	55.4	54.0
4/30/08	10:00 AM	56.7	69.5	50.5	63.5	59.7	56.5	54.6

Location: #4, rear patio of 2222 Channel Road



















**EXHIBIT 3** 

Photograph 1. View of discharge pipe with African umbrella sedge mixed with other ornamentals. Photograph taken on 12-10-2008.



Photograph 2. This photograph depicts irrigation lines visible immediately above the area vegetated with African umbrella sedge. Photograph taken on 12-10-2008.

AERIE PROPERTY Site Photographs

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Arid West Region

Project/site: Aenie Project site city	County: Orange Sampling Date: 12/10/08
	State: CA Sampling Point: 1
Applicant/Owner: Manatt, Ahups + Phillips	State. CA Sampling Point.
Investigator(s): 115maline PSchwartz Dec	tion, Township, Range: 70, KIDW, See L
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Slope	al relief (concave, convex, none): Stape / NANC Slope (%):40-50
Subregion (LRR): Med Lat: 33	0 35 54.0 Long: 117.52 45.6 W Datum: W6584
Soil Map Unit Name: Myford	NWI classification: NONE
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?	
Are Vegetation, Soll, or Hydrology significantly distu	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problem	natic? NO (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	mpling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?     Yes     No       Hydric Soll Present?     Yes     No       Wetland Hydrology Present?     Yes     No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No
Remarks:	

#### VEGETATION

1	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Use scientific names.)	% Cover	Species?	_Status_	Number of Dominant Species
1. PHOSPOUM undulatum	0	N	MK.	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2 LIGUSTRUM SP.	10		UNK	Total Number of Dominant
	/	1 4		Species Across All Strata: (B)
Total Cover				Percent of Dominant Species 100 (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
1.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
2				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
3.				OBL species x1 =
4				FACW species x 2 =
				FAC species x 3 =
5Total Cover				FACU species x 4 =
Herh Stratum				UPL species x 5 =
1. Openus involuciatus	ROD	N	FACW	
		-		Column Totals: (A) (B)
- Z.,				Prevalence Index = B/A =
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
4				Dominance Test is >50%
5				Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
6,				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
7				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
8				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
Total Cover:				with the second of the second of the second s
Woody Vine Stratum				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
1				be present.
.2.			h	
Total Cover:				Hydrophytic 💥 Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum % Cover	of Blotic Cr.	ust		Present? Yes No
+ APPens to be	CUPAN	vied	last	irrightion for adjacent
	a da la		11.	undranne in Dana
Landscaping + 1	<i>wisan</i>	nll !	wate	$\sim$
0				

US Army Corps of Engineers

Arid West - Version 11-1-2006

OIL	da 6 an 110 11	to the death	nandad to doorwood the last second	Sampling Point:	******
		to the depth i	needed to document the Indicator or (	onfirm the absence of indicators.)	
Depth (inches)	<u> </u>	%	Color (moist) % Type <sup>1</sup>	oc <sup>2</sup> Texture Remarks	
0-14	10/22/1	100	NONE	Lormy Sand Smells von	hour
0-14	TALE LI	<u> </u>			AICY
				<u> </u>	Jane
				2011	0164. 166214-
				no hyd	116
				Indication	. C 6
		· ·····			
				any r	
Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=Depi	etion, RM=Re	duced Matrix. <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Li	ning, RC=Roct Channel, M=Matrix.	
			Rs, unless otherwise noted.)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solis	5 <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	A1)		Sandy Redox (S5)	1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)	
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Stripped Matrix (S6)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)	
Black His	tic (A3)		Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	Reduced Vertic (F18)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Red Parent Material (TF2)	
	Layers (A5) (LRR C	:)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	
	:k (A9) (LRR D)		Redox Dark Surface (F6)		
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Depieted Dark Surface (F7)		
	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depressions (F8)	3	
	ucky Mineral (S1)		Vernal Pools (F9)	<sup>a</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and	
	eyed Matrix (S4)			wetland hydrology must be present.	
	ayer (if present):				
Type:					
1350.			• Estate - 1		N
Depth (incl	nes):			Hydric Soll Present? Yes No	
Depth (incl emarks:	nes):		-	Hydric Soll Present? Yes No	
Depth (inch emarks: (DROLOG	nes):			Hydric Soll Present? Yes No	
Depth (inch eemarks: YDROLOG	Pres): Press of the second s			Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ	
Depth (incl emarks: /DROLOG /etland Hydr rimary Indica	Nes): Y ology Indicators: tors (any one indicators)			Secondary Indicators (2 or more regimed) Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)	uired)
Depth (incl emarks: /DROLOG /etland Hydr mary Indica Surface W	Nes): Y ology Indicators: tors (any one Indicators) /ater (A1)		Salt Crust (B11)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more reg Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverin	uired)
Depth (inch emarks: DROLOG fetland Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate	SY alogy Indicators: tors (any one indicat /ater (A1) ar Table (A2)		Salt Crust (B11) Biotic Crust (B12)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more regi Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)	uired)
Depth (inch emarks: DROLOG etland Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation	SY alogy Indicators: tors (any one indical /ater (A1) er Table (A2) i (A3)	tor is sufficien	Salt Crust (B11) Biotic Crust (B12) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more regi Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Dritt Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10)	uired)
Depth (inch emarks: DROLOG fettand Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma	Telogy Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: fater (A1) er Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin	tor is sufficien	Salt Crust (B11) Biotic Crust (B12) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more regimed) Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	uired)
Depth (incl emarks: /DROLOG /etland Hydr mary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment	Thes): Tology Indicators: tors (any one Indical /ater (A1) ar Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin Deposits (B2) (Nonriverin	tor is sufficien ne) riverine)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7)	uired)
Depth (incl emarks: DROLOG ettand Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo	es): ology indicators: tors (any one indicators: /ater (A1) er Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin Deposits (B2) (Nonriverin sits (B3) (Nonriverin	tor is sufficien ne) riverine)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: /DROLOG /etland Hydr mary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface S	BY rology Indicators: tors (any one indicators: tors (any one indicators) ter Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin Deposits (B2) (Nonriverin sits (B3) (Nonriverin oil Cracks (B6)	tor is sufficien ne) riverine) ne)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> <li>Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8) oils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Image	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: DROLOG fetland Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface S Inundation	es): by cology indicators: tors (any one indicators: tors (any one indicators): tors (any one indicator	tor is sufficien ne) riverine) ne)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drit Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8) oils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imag Shallow Aquitard (D3)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: DROLOG etland Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface S Inundation Water-Sta	Arrowski state Arrowski state	tor is sufficien ne) riverine) ne)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> <li>Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8) oils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Image	uired) ne)
Depth (Incl emarks: DROLOG etland Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface S Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa	Ares): Tology Indicators: tors (any one indicators: tors (any one indicators: tors (any one indicators): tors (A1) are Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin Deposits (B2) (Nonriverin oil Cracks (B6) to Visible on Aerial Im ined Leaves (B9) titons:	tor is sufficien ne) riverine) ne) sagery (B7)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> <li>Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed S</li> <li>Other (Explain in Remarks)</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drit Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8) oils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imag Shallow Aquitard (D3)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: (DROLOG /etland Hydr rimary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa inface Water	Ares): Tology Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: tors (A1) ar Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin Deposits (B2) (Nonriverin oil Cracks (B6) a Visible on Aerial Im ined Leaves (B9) ttions: Present? Yet	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) nagery (B7) s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drit Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8) oils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imag Shallow Aquitard (D3)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: DROLOG etfand Hydr imary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Dritt Depo Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa	Ares): Tology Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: tors (any one Indicators: tors (A1) ar Table (A2) (A3) rks (B1) (Nonriverin Deposits (B2) (Nonriverin oil Cracks (B6) a Visible on Aerial Im ined Leaves (B9) ttions: Present? Yet	tor is sufficien ne) riverine) ne) sagery (B7)	<ul> <li>Salt Crust (B11)</li> <li>Biotic Crust (B12)</li> <li>Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)</li> <li>Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> <li>Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed S</li> <li>Other (Explain in Remarks)</li> </ul>	Secondary Indicators (2 or more requ Water Marks (B1) (Riverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine) Drit Deposits (B3) (Riverine) Drainage Patterns (B10) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) g Roots (C3) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Crayfish Burrows (C8) oils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imag Shallow Aquitard (D3)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: (DROLOG /etland Hydr rimary Indica Surface W Saturation Water Ma Saturation Water Ma Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa urface Water ater Table Pri ituration Pre- cludes capill	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl Remarks: YDROLOG Yetland Hydr rimary Indica Surface Water Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface S Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa Jurface Water face Table Pre- aturation Pre- rectudes capill	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Biotic Crust (B12) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Plowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl temarks: <b>/DROLOG</b> /etland Hydr rimary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa Jurface Water ater Table Pr aturation Pre- rictudes capill escribe Reco	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl Remarks: YDROLOG Yetland Hydr rimary Indica Surface Water Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface S Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa Jurface Water face Table Pre- aturation Pre- rectudes capill	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl temarks: YDROLOG Vetland Hydr rimary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa Jurface Water fater Table Pr aturation Pre- rictudes capill escribe Reco	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: (DROLOG (etland Hydr rimary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa ater Table Pr ritration Pre- cludes capill escribe Reco	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)
Depth (incl emarks: (DROLOG /etland Hydr rimary Indica Surface W High Wate Saturation Water Ma Sediment Drift Depo Surface Sa Inundation Water-Sta eld Observa Jurface Water ater Table Pr eturation Pre- cludes capill escribe Reco	Present? Yes ary finge)	tor is sufficien ne) riverIne) ne) sagery (B7) s No s No s No	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Livin Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Piowed S Other (Explain in Remarks)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)         Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)         Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)         Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)         Drainage Patterns (B10)         Dry-Season Water Table (C2)         g Roots (C3)         Thin Muck Surface (C7)         Crayfish Burrows (C8)         oils (C6)         Shallow Aquitard (D3)         FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	uired) ne)

US Army Corps of Engineers

Arid West -- Version 11-1-2006

....